



EDEN ANNUAL CONFERENCE – 2020

FOSTERING RETENTION IN ONLINE HIGHER EDUCATION: STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS OF AN INTERVENTION ADDRESSING THEIR FIRST-YEAR EXPERIENCE

Marlon Xavier & Julio Meneses
Universitat Oberta de Catalunya (UOC)
mxavier0@uoc.edu, jmenesesn@uoc.edu

June 2020



Context

- Dropout and Retention in Online Higher Education (OHE)
 - higher rates of dropout (from course and degree)
 - especially during first semester and year
 - main factors:
 - time-related conflicts, time management, misconceptions
 - important: first and second semester enrolments



Intervention and Research

- Universitat Oberta de Catalunya (UOC)
 - high rates of dropout
 - non-traditional students (adults with jobs/family)
 - flexible model
 - learning design: continuous assessment (CA) activities
 - best predictor for retention and dropout



Intervention and Research

- Intervention to foster retention, persistence, and satisfaction
- institutional support addressing
 - first and second semester enrolments (courses and pathways)
 - academic advising (students' time availability, expectations)
 - flexibility in the continuous assessment process



Research aims

- present the institutional intervention
- characterize the participant students
- explore their perception of intervention measures and their possible advantages or risks



The ESPRIA Intervention

- First-year Students (ESPRIA, in Catalan)
- maximize the impact of course design and institutional support on retention
- trained academic advising for first enrolments
- help students adhere to and be successful in the CA process
- Measures:
- flexible enrolment packages: three courses with non-overlapping submission deadlines and adjusted syllabus and workload
- provide flexibility measures in the CA process
 - making up for a failed or non-submitted CA activity; creating a first, not graded CA activity to induce a smoother entry in the course; allowing delayed submission of assessments



Method

- Qualitative, exploratory method
- Participants: 8 first-year, fully online undergraduate UOC students
 - Persisters (students who enroll for three consecutive semesters)
 - Sample: age (traditional or non-traditional [<25 y-o]; full- or part-time; gender
- Data collection: semi-structured in-depth interviews
- Data analysis: content analysis



- Focusing on:
- students' characteristics (profiles)
- their perceptions about ESPRIA measures
- usually no significant gender differences



Profiles:

- Traditional part-time persisters: 30h work week; good time management skills;
 value UOC system; some time conflict, especially in the first semester
 - value course workload adjustment
 - and flexibilizing submission deadlines



- Traditional full-time persisters: no work; 30 credits; procrastination problems (women); succeed; stress at end of semester (conflict)
 - value more personal support
 - value flexibilizing submission deadlines but not for themselves, for they fear it would increase their procrastination
 - more information on the degree and online system before starting their studies
 - not having group assignments



- Non-traditional part-time persisters: 12 credits, full-time jobs; difficulties when they start their studies; realistic expectations, time-related problems when they enroll in more than 2-3 courses; value flexibility and self-regulation
 - flexibilizing submission deadlines has positive and negative sides (procrastination)
 - value non-overlapping schedules and workload adjustment
 - want measures to give them a sense of community (synchronous interaction)



- Non-traditional full-time persisters: 30 credits, no work; good time management skills; value flexibility; expected to work more; no procrastination
 - value more personalized support
 - making up for failed submissions: good, but not for themselves
 - value flexibilizing submission dates



Conclusions

- Each student profile experiences time in different manners, and has dissimilar time management skills, demands, and perceptions of needed support
- treating the different profiles in the same way is not adequate, for they display different behaviors, demands, experiences, and strategies to succeed
- time-related factors represent the major issue for persistence and continuance
- students value online flexibility, but for some it also represents conflicting demands
- thus some measures would be good for some, but not for others (procrastinators)
- common demands: more personalized feedback and mentorship and the possibility of making up for CA graded activities



Thank you