# The use of ICT in school management and its influence on the teaching-learning activity

#### Georgeta Ion, Julio Meneses, Carles Sigales (Open University of Catalonia)

The International Conference on Learning University of Barcelona, Spain from 1 to 4 July 2009



www.uoc.edu



Results

### The Implementation of the Internet in the Spanish Education System

Identify the teachers' pedagogical and professional uses of ICT

Reinforcing teamwork and participation proceedings in the institutions

Project Scope

Analyse the students' use of ICT

Identify how ICT may contribute to the improvement of educational practices

Introduction	Objective	Methods	Results	Limitations	Conclusions		
Objective							

### To analyze the use of ICT in administrative activities in primary and high schools in Spain



### Methods: project

- Empirical research using face-to-face questionnaires Heads, Teachers, Students
- Representative Sample of 17.575 subjects (heads, teachers and students) of 809 centres
- → Field Work: March September 2007

Project web page:

http://www.uoc.edu/in3/integracion\_internet\_educacion\_escolar

# Methods: Heads' questionnaire

Three Face-face questionnaires were applied: Heads, Teachers, Students

Heads' questionnaire



Introduction

Objective

Methods

Results

Limitations

Conclusions

### General view

#### Graphic 1. Type of use of ICT among administrative staff



■ Never ■ Occasionaly ■ Always or almost always

Introduction	Objective	Methods	Results	Limitations	Conclusions

### Methods: variables

#### 1. Dependent variable

- a. Factor construction based on the 11 items
- b. Groups: Low use: < 1 SD; Middle use: => 1 SD <= 1 SD; High use: > 1 SD

#### 2. Independent variables



Introduction	Objective	Methods	Results	Limitations	Conclusions
		Re	sults		

The final factor analysis (L3, L4, L5, L6, L7, L8, L9, L10) explained 60,2% of variability and Cronbach's Alpha=0.906

Categorical Variable Low use = 107 (16%)Middle use = 446 (67%)High use = 114 (17%)

Total = 667 (100%)

Categorical: low use: < 1 SD middle use: => 1 SD <= 1 SD high use: > 1 SD



### Methods: statistical analyses

Bivariate analysis according with the type of variables

CROSS-TABLES (factor -the 3 groups vs. Independent variables)

- a. Pearson Chi square test (p≤0.05)
- b. Cramer's V test (0-1 distribution)
- c. Adjusted and normalized residuals (± 1.9 are considered as a significant magnitude)

Results							

#### Table 2. Use of ICT by type of centre

Type of centre	Low use	Middle use	High use
Public (primary) (n=336)	17%	62%	21%
	RES= 1.1	RES= -3.1	RES= 2.8
Public (high school) (n=130)	12%	76%	11%
	RES= -1.3	RES= 2.5	RES= -1.9
Private (n=117)	16%	71%	13%
	RES= -1	RES= 1.3	RES= -1.5

Chi 2 Pearson, p = 0.02; Cramer's V = 0,099

**RES**=residuals

Introduction	Objective	Methods	Results	Limitations	Conclusions
		Re	sults		

#### Table 3. Use of ICT by city size

City Size (people)	Low use	Middle use	High use
<10.000 (n=156)	21%	56%	23%
	RES = 1.7	RES = -3.2	RES = 2.3
10.001-50.000 (n=180)	16%	67%	17%
	RES = 0	RES = 0	RES = 0.1
50.001-100.000 (n=69)	22%	59%	19%
	RES = 1.4	RES = -1.4	RES = 0.4
100.001-500.000 (n=181)	12%	73%	11%
	RES = 1.7	RES = 3.5	RES = -2.8
>500.001 (n=81)	11%	70%	18%
	RES = -1.3	RES= 0.7	RES= 0.4

Introduction	Objective	Methods	Results	Limitations	Conclusions
		Re	sults		

#### Table 4. Use of ICT by degree of ICT development

	Low use	Middle Use	High use
Poor development of ICT (n=155)	16%	69%	15%
	RES= 0.2	RES= 0.5	RES= -0.8
Good perspectives in the use of ICT (n=305)	14%	71%	15%
	RES= -1.0	RES= 1.8	RES= -1.2
Initiating the use of ICT (n=97)	24%	68%	8%
	RES= 2.4	RES= 0.2	RES= -2.5
Positive attitude toward the ICT and its use $(n-90)$	11%	52%	37%
(11-69)	RES= -1.2	RES= -3.4	RES= 5.4

21 centres were missed in this variable (3.1% of the sample)

Chi 2 Pearson, p Value < 0.001 V Cramer= 0,167

**RES=residuals** 

Introduction	Objective	Methods	Results	Limitations	Conclusions
		Re	sults		

#### Table 5. Use of ICT by the head's gender

Head' gender	Low use	Middle use	High use
Male (n=405)	15%	71%	14%
	RES= -1.5	RES= 2.8	RES= -2.0
Female (n=253)	19%	60%	21%
	RES= 1.5	RES= -2.8	RES= 2.0

9 centres were missed in this variable (1.3% of the sample) Chi 2 Pearson, p Value = 0.02, Cramer V=0,108 RES=residuals

Introduction	Objective	Methods	Results	Limitations	Conclusions			
	Results							

#### **Table 6.** Use of ICT by internet training during the last three years

Internet training during the last three years	Low use	Middle use	High use
Yes	16%	63%	22%
	RES= -0.4	RES= -3.1	RES= 4.3
No	17%	74%	9%
	RES= 0.4	RES= 3.1	RES= -4.3

3 centres were missed in this variable (0.4% of the sample)

Chi 2 Pearson, p Value = 0.02 Cramer V= 0,081

**RES**=residuals

Introduction	Objective	Methods	Results	Limitations	Conclusions		
Results							

No statistical significant relation was observed between

The centre's size,

The age of the head,

The length of Internet connection and use

Use of ICT

Introduction	Objective	Methods	Results	Limitations	Conclusions			
	Results							

- Primary schools trend to have a high use of ICT
- The smallest cities trend to have a high use of ICT
- A positive attitude toward the ICT and its use is associate with a high use of ICT
- The women heads trend to develop a higher use of ICT
- The training in the use of Internet was also associated with a higher use of ICT.

Introduction	Objective	Methods	Results	Limitations	Conclusions		
Conclusions							

- The most of the centers trend to have a frequent use of ICT
- There is a lack of use of ICT among the teachers, particularly in the communication activities with other teachers and other professionals.
- Very few school heads use ICT to let the centre know by others or to establish collaborations with other centres.



### Future prospective

- Our results suggest that having Internet connection in the School does not predict the use of ICT.
- The inclusion of any training activities in the use of ICT could encourage the school managers in its use.
- The promotion of the use of ICT must involve both the administrative and teaching-learning activities in schools

Georgeta Ion: gion@uoc.edu

# **THANK YOU!**